App. F. IX-4

192/Lair

File No. 24-28

Previous References

Serial Nos. 7.8.1344

Later References

Law 2847-

D 8

(8)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 4.

Part B for Feb 1929

SUBJECT

Launching a fee of Rs 250/- only to
the G.A. for sending
a witness examined by Hon'ble Dr.
in connection with the Dandekar Enquiry.

Lemon 1229 3056.2.29.

General and Revenue Secretariat | Law File No. 24 of 1928

Serial No.

Letter No: 549 dated 27/31 st Dec^r 28 by forwarding a bill for Rs 500/- for professional services rendered on behalf of Govt in helping the Addl Dist Mag. in the scrutiny of depositions of witness in Connection with the Bangalore Disturbances.

Under rule 36 of the Legal affairs rules the bill has to be countersigned by the authority who consulted the Govt Adv:

The PPr who also appeared before the Addl DM. was paid at the rate of Rs 10/- per day for the 47 days he attended the Court. The bill of the G.A now sent does not mention how many days he attended the Court. This is perhaps on account of his claiming a lump sum of Rs 500/- & not any daily fee -

Submitted for orders

MM 3.1.29

Mr Madhava may perhaps be consulted as to whether having regard to the nature of the work done by the Govt-A. he considers the fee claimed to be reasonable.

Secy 4/1

3rd Member 4/1, K-O B

Law 8/1/29

P. 8/1

D.F.M. 9/1

Calculated on the number of depositions examined.

MM 3/1/29

2093 7/1

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 021—GPB—30,000—21-8-28

Sas Repro 25

3204

General and Revenue Secretariat | Land File No. 24 | App. F. III—2] of 192 8.

Serial No.	
	Draft D.O to Mr C. Machaiya put up for approval Dorf 18/1/29 Jeeva 21/1 Jeeva 21/1
8	D.O. No: P3678/Land 24-28-8. dated 17.1.29 to Mr C. Machaiya Prest: Bch Municipality requiring his opinion regarding the reasonableness of the fee claimed by G.A
Sas A Dorf 18/1/29	No. 85 of 28-29 dated 23.1.29 from Mr C. Machaiya, intimating that it would be difficult for him to value the services rendered by Mr K. Shamiah avaru grandson and stating that he worked from 24th to 31st Oct from 12 to 4 Pm. each days - According to rule 35 (2)(a) the late G.A is entitled to a daily fee of Rs 25/- unless a higher sum is sanctioned by Govt Calculated at this rate the fee due to him for the 8 days is Rs 200/-. The G.A claims Rs 500/- probably on the basis of the number of depositions scrutinised, which are said to be those of about 440 witnesses. It is for orders as to the amount for which the bill maybe sanctioned Dorf 23.1.29 L.R.) Jeeva 23/1 No Book D.M. says that the G.A. worked with him for 4 hours

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 021—GPB—30,000—21-8-28

Serial No.

DE[illegible]N'S OFFICE
28. JAN. 1929
No. 332

7801/25.
This cannot be

each day for 8 days in examining the evidence. The is work of an ordinary nature, and I do not think there is any justification to exceed the amount prescribed by the rules. He may be given Rs: 250/ as the most.

D. M. 24/1

3rd Member

Superintendent Revenue - Please also submit to Dewan

26/1/29

Dewan)

26/1

29-1-29

Draft Go passed

2-2-29

Secy)

2/2/29

Dewan

D. M. 4/2

To 1) Mr. V. Shankaranarayanarao

2) The Comptroller -

P 4229-30/Law. dated 6.2.29

Sanctioning the bill for Rs 2.50/- only

14

E—Contr. sheet

WD 021—GPB—30,000—21-8-28

In reply please refer to
No. 329

Tel. Address :—
"JURIST," Bangalore.

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore 29th December *192* 8.

31st

Leave 24-26-7

FROM
K. SHANKARANARAYANA RAO, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,
GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

CHIEF SECRETARY
2 JAN. 1929

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government
of H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

2/1/29

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith, for the sanction of Government a Bill for Rs.500/- only being my remuneration for professional services rendered on behalf of Government in helping the Additional District Magistrate Bangalore District, in the scrutiny of the Depositions of witnesses examined by him in connection with the Bangalore disturbances.

Rs 2/1/29.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE.

Section Loan

File No. 24-IX

Serial No. 45

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

the 192

Date of issue 17/1/29

Signed by M D S

No. 3698

To

C. Machaiya Esq. B.A.
President, Bangalore City
Municipality

Dear Sir,

Mr. K. Shamsaravarajana
late Govt Advocate,
Rao Bahadur has ~~sent in a bill~~ claimed
~~for Rs 500/- being his~~ remuneration
of Rs 500
for professional services rendered
by him ~~on behalf of Government~~
in helping you ~~when you were~~
Addl District magistrate, Bangalore,
in the Scrutiny of the depositions
of witnesses examined by you
as Addl. D.M. Magr
in connection with the ~~[illegible]~~
Bangalore Disturbances.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 0399—GPB—20,000—18.9.28

I am desired to enquire whether he having regard to the nature of the work done by the ~~Late Post Advocate~~ him you consider the fee claimed to be reasonable.

Yours sincerely,

3204/28

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE,
BANGALORE. 3
Dated 23rd Jany. *1929*.

Dear Sir,

23/1/29

In reply to your 3696/Law. 24-28-8 dated 17th January 1929, I beg to state that it is difficult for me to value the services rendered by Mr. K. Shankaranarayana Rao, in discussing the evidence with me, as the basis on which the fee is claimed is not known to me. I may however state that we discussed the evidence from the 24th till the 31st of October 1928, at Mysore, from 12 to 4 P.M. each day.

Yours sincerely,

Chachia

N. Seetharamaiya, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Assistant Secretary to Government,
Law Secretariat, B a n g a l o r e.

G.R.S. App VI G-2]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue..... 6/2/29

gned by..... M V Rs

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Section Home.

File No. 24-28

Serial No. 14

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of **Mysore.**

Read—

Letter no: 549 dated 29/31 ult 1928 from Mr. K. Shankara narayan Rao, ~~late~~ the then ~~Govt Advocate~~, forwarding for sanction a bill for Rs 500/- for professional services rendered by him as Govt. Advocate in helping the Addl Dist: magistrate, Bangalore in the Scrutiny of the ~~Depositions~~ of witnesses examined ~~Order Thereon No.~~ by ~~Chitty~~ later in connection with the Bangalore disturbances.

order no: M 4229-30 (1

Government are pleased to sanction the bill for a sum of

Rs 250/- only -

for Secy to Govt

Law Dept

2.2.29

To,

1) Mr K. Shamarayanara yana Rao
With the Bill

2) The Comptroller to Govt.

List of enclosure.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0926—GPB—12,500—26-5-27

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS

THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

R E A D:-

Letter No. 549 dated 29/31st December 1928 from Mr. K. Shankaranarayana Rao, forwarding for sanction a bill of Rs.500/- for professional services rendered by him as Government Advocate in helping the Additional District Magistrate, Bangalore, in the scrutiny of the depositions of witnesses examined by the latter in connection with the Bangalore Disturbances.

****

O r d e r No.P.

Dated Bangalore the 5th February 1929.

Government are pleased to sanction the bill for a sum of Rs. 250/-only.

For Secretary to Government
Law Department.

To

Mr. K.Shankaranarayana Rao, with the bill.

The Comptroller to Government.